River Pines Public Utility District routinely monitors for constituents in your drinking water according to Federal and State Laws. Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5 list all the drinking water contaminants that were detected above the DLR during the most recent sampling for Well #2, Well #3R & Well #6R. The presence of these contaminants in the water does not necessarily indicate that the water poses a health risk. The table does not include contaminants that were not detected by laboratory testing. Unless otherwise indicated, the data contained in this report are for the monitoring period of January 1st to December 31st, 2019. The Division allows us to monitor for certain contaminants less than once per year because the concentrations of these contaminants do not change frequently. Some of the results in this report, though representative, may be more than a year old.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| TERMS USED IN THIS REPORT: |  |
| Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL): The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. PrimaryMCLs are set as close to the PHGs (or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. Secondary MCLs are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water. | **Public Health Goal (PHG):** The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk in health. PHGs are set by the California Environmental Protection Agency. |
| Primary Drinking Water Standards (PDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements, and water treatment requirements. | **Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG):** The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). |
| Secondary Drinking Water Standards (SDWS): MCLs for contaminants that affect taste, odor, or appearance of the drinking water. Contaminants with SDWSs do not affect the health at the MCL levels. | **Treatment Technique (TT):** A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water. |
| ND: not detectable at testing limitmg/L: parts per million or milligrams per liter (mg/L)µg/L: parts per billion or micrograms per liter (ug/L)pCi/L: picocuries per liter (a measure of radiation) | **Regulatory Action Level (AL):** The concentration of a contaminant of which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements which a water system must follow. |
|  | **DLR:** Detection Limit for purposes of Reporting. The DLR is set by state regulation for each reportable analyte. |

**Table 1 – Sampling Results Showing the Detection of Coliform Bacteria from**

**Distribution System**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Microbiological****Contaminants** | **Highest****No. of****Detections** | **No. of Months****In violation** | **MCL** | **MCLG** | **Typical source****Of Bacteria** |
| Total Coliform Bacteria | (In a mo.)None | None | More than 1 sample in a month with a detection |  | Naturally present in the environment |
| Fecal coliform of E. coli | (In the yr.)None | None | A routine sample and a repeat sample detect total coliform and either sample also detects fecal coliform of E. coli |  | Human and animal fecal waste |

Water systems are required to meet a strict standard for coliform bacteria. Coliform bacteria are usually harmless, but their presence in water can be an indication of disease-causing bacteria. When coliform bacteria are found, special follow-up tests are done to determine if harmful bacteria are present in the water supply. If the standard is exceeded, the water supplier must notify the public. In 2016, River Pines PUD is pleased to inform you, no coliform bacteria were detected in any of the routine treated bi-monthly distribution system samples.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 2 – Sampling Results Showing the Detection of Lead and Copper****(Distribution System, Sampling Dates: 8/19/20 - 8/20/2020** |
| **Lead and Copper****(units)** | **No. of****Samples****Collected** | **90th****Percentile****Level****Detected** | **No. Sites****Exceeding****AL** | **AL** | **MCLG** | **Typical Source of****Contamination** |
| Lead (µg/L) | 15 | ND | None | 15 | 2 | Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems, erosion of natural deposits. |
| Copper (mg/L) | 15 | 0.33 | None | 1.3 | 0.17 | Internal corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives |

 *Note: 90th percentile level detected for 5 sites is the average of the 2 highest detections*.

**Lead in Schools testing**: There are no schools in the River Pines PUD service area.

Lead – If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. River Pines PUD is responsible for providing high quality drinking water, but cannot control the variety of materials used in plumbing components. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. If you are concerned about lead in your water, you may wish to have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline or at <http://www.epa.gov/safewater/lead>.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Table 3 – Sampling Results for Sodium and Hardness** |  |
| **Chemical or Constituent** | **Units** | **Well #6R****6/2/2020** | **Well #3R****6/2/2020** | **Well #2****6/2/2020** | **PHG** | **MCL** | **Typical Source of Contamination** |
| Sodium | mg/L | 8.6 | 2.4 | 3.7 | None | none | Generally, found in ground and surface water |
| Hardness | mg/L | 150 | 270 | 260 | None | none | Generally, found in ground and surface water |

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 4 – Detection of Contaminants with a Primary Drinking Water Standard** |
| **Chemical or Constituent** | **Units** | **Violation****Y/N** | **Well #6R****6/2/2020** | **Well #3R****6/2/2020** | **Well #2****6/2/2020** | **PHG** | **MCL** | **Typical Source of Contaminant** |
| Nitrate (as nitrate, NO3) | mg/L | N | 3.2 | 0.86 | 1.3 | 10 | 10 | Runoff from fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks, sewage; erosion of natural deposits. |

ND = not detected.

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 4 cont. – Detection of Contaminants with a Primary Drinking Water Standard Sampled Monthly** |
| **Chemical or Constituent** | **Units** | **Violation****Y/N** | **Locational Running Ave.** | **Range of Detections** | **PHG** | **MCL** | **Typical Source of Contaminant** |
| Chorine | mg/L | N | 1.54 | 1.27 – 1.90 | 4 | 4 | Disinfectant |
| **Disinfection Byproducts (Treated distribution water – sampled quarterly 2020)** |
| Total Trihalomethanes | µg/L | N | 3.63 LocationalRunning Ave. | 2.8 – 5.0 | NA | 80 | By-product of drinking water chlorination |
| Haloacetic Acids | µg/L | N | 0.53LocationalRunning Ave. | 0 – 1.1 | NA | 60 | By-product of drinking water chlorination |
|  |
| **Radioactive Contaminants - 2015** |
| **Chemical or Constituent** | **Units** | **Violation****Y/N** | **Average** | **Range of Detections** | **PHG** | **MCL** | **Typical Source of Contaminant** |
| Uranium | PCi/L | N | 8.3 | 5.8 – 9.7 | 0.43 | 20 | Erosion of natural deposits |
| Gross Alpha Particles | pCi/L | N | 5.8 | 4.3 – 6.8 | NA | 15 | Erosion of natural deposits |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Table 5 – Detection of Contaminants with a Secondary Drinking Water Standard (a)** |  |
| **Chemical or Constituent** | **Units** | **Violation****Y/N** | **Well #6R****6/2/2020** | **Well #3R****6/2/2020** | **Well #2****6/2/2020** | **PHG** | **MCL** | **Typical Source of Contaminant** |
| Chloride | mg/L | N | 12 | 2.8 | 4.5 |  | 500 | Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; sea water influence |
| Specific Conductance | umho/cm | N | 330 | 490 | 460 |  | 1600 | Substances that form ions when in water; sea water influence |
| Odor – Threshold | Units | N | ND | ND | ND |  | 3 | Naturally-occurring organic compounds |
| Sulfate | mg/L | N | 9.0 | 3.2 | 4.5 |  | 500 | Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | N | 210 | 280 | 270 |  | 1000 | Runoff/leaching from natural deposits |
| Turbidity | NTU | N | 0.84 | 0.44 | 0.36 |  | 5 | Soil runoff |
| Zinc | mg/L | N | ND | ND | ND |  | 5 | Runoff/leaching from natural deposits; industrial wastes |

1. There are no PHG’s, MCLGs, or mandatory standard health effects language for constituents with secondary drinking water standards because secondary MCLs are set based on aesthetics.

MCL’s are set at very stringent levels. To understand the possible health effects described for many regulated constituents, a person would have to drink 2 liters of water every day at the MCL level for a lifetime to have a one-in-a-million chance of having the described health effect.

**River Pines PUD reports there are no violations to report in**

**Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5.**

**For Systems Providing Surface Water as a Source of Drinking Water:**

**(or Ground Water under the influence of Surface Water)**

|  |
| --- |
| **Table 8 – Sampling Results Showing Treatment of Surface Water Sources** |
| Treatment Technique (a)(Type of approved filtration technology used) | Rosedale Filtration System/Chlorination |
| Turbidity Performance Standards (b)(that must be met through the water treatment process) | Turbidity of the filtered water must:1 – Be less than or equal to 0.2 NTU in 95% of measurements in a month.2 – Not exceed 0.5 NTU at any time |
| Lowest monthly percentage of samples that met turbidity Performance Standard No. 1. | 100% |
| Highest single turbidity measurement during the year | .08 NTU |
| Number of violations of any surface water treatment requirements | 0 |

1. A required process intended to reduce the level of a contaminant in drinking water.
2. Turbidity (measured in NTU) is a measurement of the cloudiness of water and is a good indicator of water quality and filtration performance.

Turbidity results which meet performance standards are considered to be in compliance with filtration requirements.

An assessment of the drinking water sources for River Pines’ water system was completed in April 2001. The sources are considered most vulnerable to the following activities: recreational area surface water sources, historic gas stations, septic systems, and historic mining operations. A copy of the complete assessment is available at the Division Drinking Water Field Operations Branch, Stockton District Office, 31 E. Channel Street Room 270, Stockton, California 95202. You may request a summary of the assessment be sent to you by contacting Tahir Mansoor, District Engineer, at (209) 948-3879

If you have any questions about this report or concerning your water utility, please contact Andrea Hinton (209) 257-5243 or Rick Ferriera at (209) 257-5284.

Report prepared 04/27/2021 by Amador Water Agency, using *CCR Guidance for Water Suppliers* available at,

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking\_water/certlic/drinkingwater/CCR.html, employing due diligence with instructions given. Data contained in this report are based on the analytical results generated by California Laboratory Services.